## Help save a child

I am asking you to do this story, so that this does not happen to any one else.

I am sorry that this is long but a lot happen in this case, Please can you help me or let me know some one that can.

IN RE: Attorney Gregory Hession

## Statement Of Facts

I Met Attorney Hession through my Sister Audrey Serrano because he has a Law Suit Case pending with her and she did not know that he felt this way about Guardians, because when I first gave him the evidence in this case he had said to myself and my sister that I have a winning case and he would do everything in his power to bring all the evidence and the true facts of the case out and to protect the child.

- 1) Attorney Gregory Hession Did not write up an Attorney, Client Agreement:
- 2) Attorney Gregory Hession Did not re file the Answer to the complaint when he said he did, because when I retained him in February 2004, I myself and my Sister Audrey Serrano told him that he had to re file the Answer because the Court Dismissed the Answer that My Sister filed when she was allowed to advocate for me.
- 3) Attorney Gregory Hession took my case under false presence when he is against Guardians, see his Web Site (Mass Out Rage) It States a Guardian is another way to steal a child,
- 4) Attorney Gregory Hession Covered up pertinent information in this case and never brought it on the record or did not bring it to the Judges Attention like he said he would and as My Attorney, I paid him to do a job.
- a) The fact that I got e-mail threats, and phone calls threatening me and my daughter, and he told me not to press charges on Ms Laforge because he will take care of it, Mr. Hession did not bring it to the Judges attention..
- b) The fact that he had Councilor's, Doctor's, reports and reports from U-Mass Memorial Medical Center Stating that the Child told them that she was being touched by her biological Mother (Mommy Courtney), Mr. Hession kept that very important information from the Court and from the Judge, also not even telling the Judge that allegations had been made, and also that he had from the child with her and her Councilor when the child made pictures about the abuse, also from a sane tape hearing that he was supposed to have gotten a copy of and did not also he had a video tape I made of the

child on one day that she came back from the mothers house. .

- c) The Fact that I asked Attorney Hession over and over again to bring out all of the true facts of my case to show the Judge that, the child was being abused and had been abused and neglected in the past and was still being abused by her biological Mother, (Ms. Laforge).
- d) I Filed for an Adoption of the Child on April 1,2003 and it was published in the paper in July 10,2003 and with numerous attempts to locate the biological Mother, has failed, I then get a letter on July 17,2003 stating PS my address is and as you see I did not have her Address at time of filing nor at the time I published it in the paper.
- e) The Adoption got vacated because the Opposing side said that I fraudulently deceived the Court and the Judge, as you will see I did not with all the supporting evidence that Mr. Hession did not bring out is attached herein.
- f) Attorney Hession had in his possession all different addresses that I did not even have to prove that I did have the mother's correct address.:
- g) I have e-mails and faxes of correspondence that I have asked Attorney Hession to do his Job and time and time again to prove my case, and every time before a court date he would tell me don't worry I will bring out these things.:
- h) Attorney Hession's Own Associate Attorney Welsh did up Motions to prove the fact that I did not Lie to the court or to the Judge about the Adoption and Attorney Hession never submitted them on record or to the Judge: See Attached Opposition to rule 60.
- i) Attorney Hession Charged me \$300.00 for a private investigator and I never got a copy of the report and when I asked Mr. Hession for a copy, he said that he never got a copy of it and I did not need a copy.
- j) I was supposed to have had a pre trial conference on Oct, 13/2004 but instead went to court and found my self being black mailed by the opposing Attorney's to return the child to the natural mother, with my Attorney Hession telling me that it would be best if I went along with it or I will not even get visits or I will not get any contact with the child at all and then Mr. Hession said that the Judge was already mad at me and would go along with them because she was the child's Attorney (Marcia Calcagni) Who also had the same pertinent evidence and willingly went along with all parties of record, then Ms. Laforge violated the agreement and I ask Mr. Hession to go in on a contempt order and he would not.

- k) Mr. Hession knew of the fact there was forged documents, rental agreement, rent receipts, .
- I) Mr. Hession with drew from my case just before the trial and told me if I was to take it to trail that he was going to with draw from my case and I told him that I have a right to a trial and he said then I have no choice then to withdraw from your case, .
- m) Mr. Hession told the Judge that I asked him to with draw and as you will see in the e-mails that I did not, but I did agree after because he was not going to do his job and protect my rights and that of the child's because of the way he already messed up my case and he did not want the truth to come out at a trial.

According to the Department of the Attorney General's Office there is a Law against Threats, Intimidation or Coercion, Chapter 12, Section 11H.

Attorney Hession did not even tell the Opposing Attorney's that they were violating the Law by intimidation and threats, all he said was that I need to go along with it.

Attorney Hession violated every one of the rules according to the bar rules and regulations has betrayed my trust, violated my rights to do process, has violated my constitutional rights to receive the best representation and protection and defense under the law.

Attorney Hession also knew of the fact that Juanette had, had sex with a boy 14 and it had been investigated by the Fitchburg Police Detective Pagan, and he never once followed up with it nor brought it on record to the Judge and all Parties had knowledge of this.

Attorney Hession also Knew of Juanette's Brother who raped her was also watching the child and did nothing, also knew that it was in the GAL'S report and in the U-Mass Medical Center Report that she was Molested by her brother .:

Attorney Hession had billed me for the same things twice, also charged me for things that were not done in my case,

Attorney Hession has also Threatened me if I was to go to the Board with this information that he would expose my past and that of my family,

Attorney Hession also told my sister to talk to me in to not filing a complaint against him or he will put her case on hold, and I told her that I am not going to be threatened by him no more because that is why I have not filed before now, He had said that before and I put it off but I can't any longer and The Board has to know what they all did in violating the law and my rights to a fair trial and

representation.

Attorney Hession has also put me all over the Internet on groups that he belongs to and myself belong to, but as you will see they are supposed to be support groups and I sent my story of my case for some one to help me with this situation and to give me names of people to help me, but Attorney Hession responded to it and said I was a liar and told them things about me that were not true including that he paid out of his pocket the money for my case, I have checks to prove that I paid him.

Attorney Hession let the Opposing Attorney's Portray me as only the Guardian and I had Permanent Guardianship with Permanent Custody and he did not let the Judge know this, see Attached:

I adopted this child according to the Law and they all perjured there self and covered up the truth in this case, see attached the way I followed the laws of the adoption.

Mr. Hession had proof that Ms. Laforge used some one else's SS number and did not bring that out,

Mr. Hession should have never taken my case if he felt this way about Guardians, he took it under false pretense and that is violation of the law, and he is trying to say it is because of the adoption and as you can see that he did not do anything in my case even way before the adoption was even a factor.

Mr. Hession told me after he with drew from my case that no matter what a mother does she should have her child with her and I said, then why did you take my case and he said it's done and over with, may-be in time Ms. Laforge will let you see the child.

I have been sending the story of the case to others Government officials, abunsman office and Support Groups on the Internet of what happen in my case and what they all did in violating my rights to do process and they told me to file a complaint with this board.

I was going to wait until he settles my sisters case because where he threatened her and me if I was to file that he will postpone her case, he had her call me to talk to me and told her to tell me, I told her that I am not going to be threatened by him no more or let him use you to back of telling the truth and let him get away with violating the law no more.

I had called him time and time over to ask him to bring out all the abuse and true facts of this case and he would not call me back for 99 per cent of the time my calls would be ignored, see attached phone bills.

I got the Docket Sheet of the case and Attorney Hession did not file any of the Motions that he said he did also did not file a pre trial Memorandum, as you see he did not do the job I had hired him to do,

Attorney Gregory Hession put his appearance in on 3/16/2004

Then Michael Walsh put in for Attorney fees from the Attorney Murphy because he did not show up for a court hearing when he change it and did not tell us on 5/28/2004.

On 11/05/2004 filed a Opposition of motion to revoke visitation.

On 2/11/2005 motion to reinstate visits and phone contact with me, but did not speak on my behalf when it went into court on 2/16/2005.

On 2/23/2005 put motion in to with draw from my case and said that I asked him to which as you see in my correspondence with him I did not and I put it on the record that day, and the trial was set up.

As you see he did not put any Motions in for my defense in my case.

They all conspired to get me out and keep me out of the child's life with lies, and deceit of the court, and they did not want the truth to come out at the trial.

But now I know that none of these Attorney's were out for the best interest of this Child and as you can see that all of the evidence proves it.

IN RE: Attorney Daniel Murphy

## Statement Of Facts

Attorney Daniel Murphy represented Ms. Juanette Laforge, The biological mother in this case.

Mr. Murphy had the same evidence that Attorney Hession and Attorney Calcagni had in this case.

Mr. Murphy knew that Judge King let my sister advocate for me in my case because I had no Attorney at first and he had lied time and time again against her saying that she was told not to have anything to do with the case, when in fact at that time Judge King had allowed her to advocate for me, and too had forgotten that he allowed her to advocate for me so then my sister was banned from the case do to Mr. Murphy's lies.

Attorney Murphy Lied to Judge King on September 26,2005, he told him that the answer was stricken because my sister Audrey Serrano filed it with her signature on it and the trial got dismissed, for a matter of fact my signature is one of them and hers on the other and Mr. Murphy had it taken out of the record or he took it out of the file himself on September 17/2003 and that is right after Judge King allowed my sister to advocate for me but I had no one to tell the Judge that mine was on the other one and there for the answer should have never been stricken and Mr. Murphy knew that if I was to get my trial all the true facts would have came out , .

Mr. Murphy did not tell the court about the complaint not being re filed and wasted all the courts time and money by not saying anything before the trial date.

Mr. Murphy has threatened me into not bringing up the fact that Ms. Laforge's brother raped her when she was a teenager.

Mr. Murphy tampered with evidence and documents,

Mr. Murphy Perjured his self in the Court room by telling the Judge that it was a Guardianship case when in fact I had Permanent Guardianship with Permanent custody of the child,

Mr. Murphy had the fact that Ms. Laforge forged documents of rental agreement, rent receipts.

Mr. Murphy knew the fact that I did not have Ms. Laforge's address at the time I filed for the adoption, and when she did send me a address saying p.s. my address is 43 Baker St., she had another address at 107 Green St. .

Mr. Murphy knew the fact that there were 51a reports of sexual abuse and physical abuse against Ms. Laforge from the Child's Doctor and Councilor.

Mr. Murphy would tell the Judge that I would not let Ms. Laforge come, when in fact he knew that Ms. Laforge in fact would cancel her visits just before a court date and say I canceled them or Ms. Laforge would just not show up for her visit at all.

Mr. Murphy kept the fact that that Attorney Hession did not re file the answer to the complaint until the day of the trial, he let the Court think the answer was filed because he did not tell me or the Court before that.

Mr. Murphy Called me and asked me if Juanette Laforge can come and visit the Child and I said she can come anytime she want, Mr. Murphy then said that he will tell them that the visit was canceled,

when in fact he knew exactly what he was doing because he told the Judge that I canceled the visit and I did not.

According to the Department of the Attorney General's Office there is a Law against Threats, Intimidation or Coercion, Chapter 12, Section 11H.

Mr. Murphy lied and perjured himself in the court room time and time again and threatening myself by telling me not to bring things out.

They all conspired to get me out and keep me out of the child's life with lies, and deceit of the court, and they did not want the truth to come out at the trial.

But now I know that none of these Attorney's were out for the best interest of this Child and as you can see that all of the evidence proves it.

IN RE: Attorney Marcia Calcagni

Statement of Facts

Attorney Marcia Calcagni was the Child's Attorney:

Attorney Calcagni covered up the fact that there were reports of abuse on the child by the Mother, Ms. Laforge.

Ms. Calcagni told the Judge that the child wanted to go back with her mother, when the fact is that the child told her that she did not want to go back to live with her because she touched her private parts and she can come and visit her at my house to visit her.

Mr. Calcagni would not let me in the room when she questioned the child, when in fact I had the right to be in there with her, her being a minor and that is a violation of the law to refuse the guardian or an adult to be present when questioning a child, and then Ms. Calcagni told me that she asked the child in three different ways that if she wanted to go and live with the mother, she confused her and the child at that time was only 5 yrs old.

Ms. Calcagn also knew that Juanette Laforge had more than one address.

Ms Calcagni threatened me into signing an agreement to give the child back to the biological mother when I had custody, I was not only the child's Guardian, then she would not let me read it and she

said that I could have visits and phone contact with the child, and if I did not sign it she would tell the Judge to send her home with no contact with you what so ever and he will listen to her because she is the child's Lawyer. see attached what happen that day.

Ms. Calcagni